FSC® – CONTROLLED WOOD DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM PUBLIC SUMMARY

Organization:

McRae Lumber Co. Ltd P.O Box 160 384A Hay Creek Rd. Whitney. Ontario KOJ 2M0 FSC-C117010

Prepared by:

Jamie McRae <u>jamesmcrae@mcraelumber.ca</u> 613-637-5352

Note: This public summary was prepared by the Organization prior to the audit to comply with requirements 6.1 and 6.2 of FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1.

The information it contains has been verified by the audit team.

1 DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM (DDS)

(This section was prepared by the organization prior to the audit and the information was verified by the audit team.)

1.1 Due Diligence System Development

The DDS was developed by:		
☑ The organization		
☐ An external party		
Contact Information of the external party:		

1.2 Description of changes made to the DDS since the last audit

☑ Check if non applicable (no changes were made since last audit)	
Summary of changes made to the organization's DDS System (changes to suppliers structure, risk assessment, risk designation,	
mitigation/control measures, etc.):	

1.3 Supply Chain Structure (per site)

Site name and ID:		
i) Nb. of suppliers	2	
ii) Nb. of sub-suppliers	0	
iii) Suppliers types	Contractors	
iv) Average length of non-FSC supply chain	1 degree	
v) Risk of mixing with non-eligible input	none	

1.4 Description of the Due Diligence System

Supply Area	Risk Designation	Risk Assessment
Algonquin Park Forest	Cat 1: Low risk	FSC Risk Assessment Algonquin Park
	Cat 2: Low risk	Oct 2017
	Cat 3: Low risk	
	Cat 4: Low risk	
	Cat 5: Low risk	
Private Wood	Cat 1: Low risk	FSC Risk Assessment private wood
	Cat 2: Low risk	2017
	Cat 3: Low risk	
	Cat 4: Low risk	
	Cat 5: Low risk	

1.5 Procedure for filling complaints

Dracadura for filling complaints		
Procedure for filling complaints		
= -		

Complaints can be received verbally or through email at jamesmcrae@mcraelumber.ca. In the case of comments or complaints from stakeholders that are related to the DDS, the following process shall be implemented:

- a) Acknowledge receipt of the complaint;
- b) Informing stakeholders of the complaint procedure, and providing an initial response to complainant within a time period of two (2) weeks;
- c) Forwarding complaints related to risk designations in the relevant FSC risk assessment to the responsible body (for an NRA: as indicated in the NRA; for a CNRA: FSC);

NOTE: When a complaint is forwarded to a responsible body, Clauses 7.2. d) - k) do not apply.

- d) Conducting a preliminary assessment to determine whether evidence provided in a complaint is or is not substantial, by assessing the evidence provided against the risk of using material from unacceptable sources;
- e) Dialogue with complainants that aims to solve complaints assessed as substantial before further actions are taken;
- f) Forwarding substantial complaints to the certification body and relevant FSC National Office for the supply area within two (2) weeks of receipt of the complaint. Information on the steps to be taken by the organization in order to resolve the complaint, as well as how a precautionary approach will be used, shall be included with the complaint;
- g) Employing a precautionary approach towards the continued sourcing of the relevant material while a complaint is pending; NOTE: This includes a description of how the precautionary approach is employed by the organization when a complaint is active. NOTE: A complaint is pending if it has been considered to be substantial (according to Clause 7.2 d), and no effective corrective action (according to Clauses 7.2 h) k) has been taken yet.
- h) Implementing a process (e.g. field verification and/or desk verification) to verify a complaint assessed as substantial by the organization, within two (2) months of its receipt;
- i) Determining the corrective action to be taken by suppliers and the means to enforce its implementation by a supplier if a complaint has been assessed and verified as substantial. If a corrective action cannot be determined and/or enforced, the relevant material and/or suppliers shall be excluded by the organization;
- j) Verifying whether corrective action has been taken by suppliers and whether it is effective;
- k) Excluding the relevant material and suppliers from the organization's supply chain if no corrective action is taken;
- I) Informing the complainant, the certification body, and the relevant FSC National Office of the results of the complaint and any actions taken towards its resolution, and for maintaining copies of relevant correspondence; and
- m) Recording and filing all complaints received and actions taken.

1.6 Contact Person for Complaints

Contact information o	f the person responsible for addressing complaints
Name:	Jamie McRae
Position:	Management
Address:	P.O. Box 160, 384A Whitney, Ontario KOJ 2M0
Phone:	613-637-5352
Email:	jamesmcrae@mcraelumber.ca

1.7 Control Measures Imple	mented			
■ Not applicable (All sourcing)	areas are low risk and risk	of mixing is	low)	
Risk Category		Control me	easures	
1) Illegally harvested wood				
2) Wood harvested in violation	of traditional and			
human rights				
3) Wood harvested from forest	in which HCV are			
threatened by management act	ivities			
4) Wood harvested in forest bei	ing converted to			
plantations or non-forest uses				
5) Wood from forest in which go	enetically modified trees			
are planted				
6) Risk of mixing in supply chain	ı			
1.8 Summary of Stakeholde	r Consultation Process			
Not applicable (Stakeholders		red as a conf	rol measure)	
1.9 Engagement of Experts	by the Organization for Ris	sk Assessme	nt and Control Measures	
Not applicable (The organiza	ation's own control measu	res do not re	equire the engagement of ex	perts. See FSC-STD-40-005 V3
requirement 4.9)				
Name	Qualification		License/Registration	Scope of service
1.10 Field Verification by the	Organization – Summary	of Findings		
Not applicable (The organization)	ation's control measures d	o not requir	e field verification)	
		1		
Justification for sampling rate:				
Summary of findings:				
Steps taken to address identifie	d non-conformities:			

Justification for exclusion of confidential information:			
1.11 Field Verification of Suppliers by the Organization	– Summary of Findings		
Not applicable (The organization's control measures do not require field verification of suppliers)			
Justification for sampling rate:			
Summary of findings:			
Steps taken to address identified non-conformities:			
Justification for exclusion of confidential information:			
1.12 Extension request for using approved FSC risk ass	essments		
☑ Check if non-applicable. (The organization has not requested an extension of the 6 months deadline)			
Country:			
FSC Risk Assessment approval date:			
6 month timeline deadline:			
New deadline (up to 2 months extension):			
Circumstance beyond the control of the organization:			
Approved by (SAI Global Technical Manager):			

Annex A: Company Risk Assessment

IMPORTANT : Full risk assessment must be provided to the lead auditor as a standalone file. Summary are no longer accepted. The risk assessment will be published on the FSC Database once SAI Global approves it.			

Doc ID: 7298 / Issue Date: Apr. 2017