

**FSC[®] – CONTROLLED WOOD
DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM
PUBLIC SUMMARY**

Organization:

PANNEAUX DE CORREZE SAS
6 impasse de l'Empereur
F-19204 USSEL FRANCE
FCBA-CW-000436

Prepared by:

MAURY Sabine
Sabine.maury@panneauxdecorreze.fr
+33 6 66 33 91 43

Note: This public summary was prepared by the
Organization prior to the audit to comply with requirements 6.1 and 6.2 of FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1.
The information it contains has been verified by the audit team.

1 DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM (DDS)

(This section was prepared by the organization prior to the audit and the information was verified by the audit team.)

1.1 Due Diligence System Development

| |
|--|
| The DDS was developed by: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> An external party |
| Contact Information of the external party: |

1.2 Description of changes made to the DDS since the last audit

| |
|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check if non applicable (no changes were made since last audit) |
| Summary of changes made to the organization's DDS System (changes to suppliers structure, risk assessment, risk designation, mitigation/control measures, etc.): |
| |

1.3 Supply Chain Structure (per site)

| | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Site name and ID: | |
| i) Nb. of suppliers | Approximately 80 |
| ii) Nb. of sub-suppliers | 0 |
| iii) Suppliers types | 2 types : logger and sawmill |
| iv) Average length of non-FSC supply chain | 2 |
| v) Risk of mixing with non-eligible input | Low risk |

1.4 Description of the Due Diligence System

| Supply Area | Risk Designation | Risk Assessment |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| FRANCE | Cat 1: Low risk | FSC-CNRA-FRA V1-0 |
| | Cat 2: Low risk | FSC-CNRA-FRA V1-0 |
| | Cat 3: Low risk | FSC-NRA-FRA D2-0 |
| | Cat 4: Low risk | FSC-NRA-FRA D2-0 |
| | Cat 5: Low risk | FSC-CNRA-FRA V1-0 |

1.5 Procedure for filling complaints

| |
|--|
| Procedure for filling complaints |
| Complaints are identified and entered by Quality manager. He coordinates the measures described below : |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Written acknowledging receipt of complaints b) Informing stakeholders of the complaint procedure, and providing an initial response to complainants within a time period |

of 2 weeks

- c) Forwarding complaints related to risk designations in the relevant FSC risk assessment to the responsible body (ANR, ANRC). When a complaint is forwarded to a responsible body, go directly to I)
- d) Conducting a preliminary assessment to determine whether evidence provided in a complaint is or is not substantial, by assessing the evidence provided against the risk of using material from unacceptable sources
- e) Dialogue with complainants that aims to solve complaints assessed as substantial before further actions are taken
- f) Forwarding substantial complaints to the certification body and relevant FSC National Office for the supply area within 2 weeks of receipt of the complaint. Information on the steps to be taken by us in order to resolve the complaint, as well as how a precautionary approach will be used, shall be included with the complaint
- g) Employing a precautionary approach towards the continued sourcing of the relevant material while a substantial complaint is pending. This includes a written description of how the precautionary approach is employed by us when a complaint is pending.
- h) Implementing a process (e.g. field verification and /or desk verification) to verify a complaint assessed as substantial within 2 months of its receipt
- i) Determining the corrective action to be taken by suppliers and means to enforce its implementation by a supplier for a substantial complaint. If a corrective action cannot be determined and/or enforced, the relevant material and/or suppliers shall be excluded by our organization.
- j) Verifying whether corrective action (as discussed in section i)) has been taken by suppliers and whether it is effective.
- k) Excluding the relevant material and suppliers from our organization's supply chain if no corrective action is taken
- l) Informing the complainant, the certification body, and the relevant FSC National Office of the results of the complaint and any actions taken towards its resolution, and for maintaining copies of relevant correspondence
- m) Recording and filing all complaints received and action taken (for a minimum of 5 years).

1.6 Contact Person for Complaints

| Contact information of the person responsible for addressing complaints | |
|---|--|
| Name: | MAURY Sabine |
| Position: | Quality & Environment Manager |
| Address: | 6 imp de l'Empereur, 19204 USSEL, FRANCE |
| Phone: | +33 6 66 33 91 43 |
| Email: | Sabine.maury@panneauxdecorreze.fr |

1.7 Control Measures Implemented

| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (All sourcing areas are low risk and risk of mixing is low) | |
|---|------------------|
| Risk Category | Control measures |
| 1) Illegally harvested wood | |
| 2) Wood harvested in violation of traditional and human rights | |
| 3) Wood harvested from forest in which HCV are threatened by management activities | |
| 4) Wood harvested in forest being converted to | |

| | |
|---|--|
| plantations or non-forest uses | |
| 5) Wood from forest in which genetically modified trees are planted | |
| 6) Risk of mixing in supply chain | |

1.8 Summary of Stakeholder Consultation Process

| |
|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (Stakeholders consultation is not required as a control measure) |
| |

1.9 Engagement of Experts by the Organization for Risk Assessment and Control Measures

| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (The organization's own control measures do not require the engagement of experts. See FSC-STD-40-005 V3 requirement 4.9) | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Name | Qualification | License/Registration | Scope of service |
| | | | |
| | | | |

1.10 Field Verification by the Organization – Summary of Findings

| | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (The organization's control measures do not require field verification) | |
| | |
| Justification for sampling rate: | |
| Summary of findings: | |
| Steps taken to address identified non-conformities: | |
| Justification for exclusion of confidential information: | |

1.11 Field Verification of Suppliers by the Organization – Summary of Findings

| | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (The organization's control measures do not require field verification of suppliers) | |
| | |
| Justification for sampling rate: | |
| Summary of findings: | |
| Steps taken to address identified non-conformities: | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Justification for exclusion of confidential information: | |
|--|--|

1.12 Extension request for using approved FSC risk assessments

| | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check if non-applicable. (The organization has not requested an extension of the 6 months deadline) | |
| Country: | |
| FSC Risk Assessment approval date: | |
| 6 month timeline deadline: | |
| New deadline (up to 2 months extension): | |
| Circumstance beyond the control of the organization: | |
| | |
| Approved by (SAI Global Technical Manager): | |