

**FSC<sup>®</sup> – CONTROLLED WOOD  
DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM  
PUBLIC SUMMARY**

**Organization:**

**Masonite International Corporation**  
**6184 rue Notre-Dame, Lac-Mégantic, QC, Canada**  
**G6B 3B5**  
**SAIGLOBAL-CW-**

**Prepared by:**

René-Paul Lacombe  
Email address  
+1-819-583-1550 ext. 6715

**Note:** This public summary was prepared by the  
Organization prior to the audit to comply with requirements 6.1 and 6.2 of FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1.  
The information it contains has been verified by the audit team.

# 1 DUE DILIGENCE SYSTEM (DDS)

(This section was prepared by the organization prior to the audit and the information was verified by the audit team.)

## 1.1 Due Diligence System Development

<b>The DDS was developed by:</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The organization
<input type="checkbox"/> An external party
Contact Information of the external party:

## 1.2 Description of changes made to the DDS since the last audit

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Check if non applicable</b> (no changes were made since last audit)
Summary of changes made to the organization's DDS System (changes to suppliers structure, risk assessment, risk designation, mitigation/control measures, etc.):

## 1.3 Supply Chain Structure (per site)

Site name and ID:	
i) Nb. of suppliers	28
ii) Nb. of sub-suppliers	0
iii) Suppliers types	Loggers, Primary producers
iv) Average length of non-FSC supply chain	1
v) Risk of mixing with non-eligible input	Low risk

## 1.4 Description of the Due Diligence System

Supply Area	Risk Designation	Risk Assessment
Canada, New-Brunswick  Districts / areas of origin : Plaster Rock, Victoria, Carleton Ecoregion: Gulf of St-Laurence lowland forests (NA0408)	Cat 1: Low risk Cat 2: Low risk Cat 3: Low risk Cat 4: Low risk Cat 5: Low risk	New Brunswick Risk Assessment 2018 for ecoregion Gulf of St-Laurence lowland forests (NA0408)
Canada, Quebec  Forests and areas of origin covered by this risk assessment : Private forests from Estrie and Beauce, Frontenac, Lingwick, Chartierville, Notre-Dames.-des-Bois, South Laurentides, Lanaudière, Outaouais. Ecoregions: Eastern Great Lakes lowland forests	Cat 1: Low risk Cat 2: Low risk Cat 3: Low risk Cat 4: Low risk Cat 5: Low risk	CIFQ/QWEB Risk Assessment December 2017

(NA0407) New-England-Acadian forest (NA0410) Eastern forest-boreal transition (NA0406)		
USA, Maine  Counties covered : Aroostook, Somerset, Franklin, Piscataquis, Oxford, Penobscot, Berkshire. Ecoregions: NA0410 - New England-Acadian forest NA0411 - Northeastern coastal forests	Cat 1: Low risk Cat 2: Low risk Cat 3: Low risk Cat 4: Low risk Cat 5: Low risk	draft FSC-NRA-USA V2-0 2017 USA Risk Assessment 2018
USA, New-York  Counties covered : Essex, Washington, St-Lawrence, Franklin, Clinton, Lewis, Warren  Ecoregions: NA0406 - Eastern forest-boreal transition (Upper NY – Adirondak region) (vulnerable) NA0407 – Eastern Great Lakes Lowland Forest (Critical/endangered)	Cat 1: Low risk Cat 2: Low risk Cat 3: Low risk Cat 4: Low risk Cat 5: Low risk	draft FSC-NRA-USA V2-0 2017 USA Risk Assessment 2018
USA, New-Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts  Counties covered : New Hampshire : Coos, Grafton, Carroll, Sullivan, Coos (Colebrook), Vermont : Franklin, Orleans, Essex, Caledonia (East Burke, Hardwick), Lamoile, Orange, Windsor, Rutland, Addison, Windham, Bennington, Washington, Chittenden. Massachusetts : Brattleboro, Essex, Middlesex, Worcester, Franklin et Berkshire, Hampden  Ecoregions NA0407 – Eastern Great Lakes Lowland Forest (VT) NA0410 - New England-Acadian forest (MA, NH, VT) NA0411 - Northeastern coastal (ME, MA) forests	Cat 1: Low risk Cat 2: Low risk Cat 3: Low risk Cat 4: Low risk Cat 5: Low risk	draft FSC-NRA-USA V2-0 2017 USA Risk Assessment 2018

### 1.5 Procedure for filling complaints

<b>Procedure for filling complaints</b>
A detailed complaint procedure is developed as part of the DDS and is summarized below: - The complaint is recorded in the non-compliance management database by the chain of custody coordinator;

- The compliant procedure is communicated within 2 weeks to the complainant;
- The details of the complaint and evidence provided are analyzed and further actions are decided provided the complaint is based on verifiable evidence;
- Within 2 weeks the complaint is communicated to the FSC Centralized Risk Analysis if it exists or to any other body responsible to produce and update a simplified or extended risk assessment;
- Implementation of precautionary measures while the complaint is pending;
- Implementation of a monitoring process of the complaint through the non-compliance database;
- Implementation of corrective actions by the suppliers should the complaint be justified;
- Verification by site visits of the efficiency of the corrective actions implemented by suppliers;
- Segregation of the material from specific suppliers if the correctives actions are not efficiently implemented.

1.6 Contact Person for Complaints

Contact information of the person responsible for addressing complaints	
Name:	René-Paul LACOMBE
Position:	FSC chain-of-Custody coordinator
Address:	6184, Notre-Dame Lac-Mégantic, Qc, Canada G6B 3B5
Phone:	+1-819-583-1550 ext. 6715
Email:	RLacombe@masonite.com

1.7 Control Measures Implemented

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (All sourcing areas are low risk and risk of mixing is low)	
Risk Category	Control measures
1) Illegally harvested wood	
2) Wood harvested in violation of traditional and human rights	
3) Wood harvested from forest in which HCV are threatened by management activities	
4) Wood harvested in forest being converted to plantations or non-forest uses	
5) Wood from forest in which genetically modified trees are planted	
6) Risk of mixing in supply chain	

1.8 Summary of Stakeholder Consultation Process

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b> (Stakeholders consultation is not required as a control measure)

1.9 Engagement of Experts by the Organization for Risk Assessment and Control Measures

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b> (The organization's own control measures do not require the engagement of experts. See FSC-STD-40-005 V3 requirement 4.9)			
Name	Qualification	License/Registration	Scope of service

1.10 Field Verification by the Organization – Summary of Findings

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b> (The organization's control measures do not require field verification)	
Justification for sampling rate:	
Summary of findings:	
Steps taken to address identified non-conformities:	
Justification for exclusion of confidential information:	

1.11 Field Verification of Suppliers by the Organization – Summary of Findings

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b> (The organization's control measures do not require field verification of suppliers)	
Justification for sampling rate:	
Summary of findings:	
Steps taken to address identified non-conformities:	
Justification for exclusion of confidential information:	

1.12 Extension request for using approved FSC risk assessments

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Check if non-applicable.</b> (The organization has not requested an extension of the 6 months deadline)	
Country:	
FSC Risk Assessment approval date:	
6 month timeline deadline:	
New deadline (up to 2 months extension):	

Circumstance beyond the control of the organization:

Approved by (SAI Global Technical Manager):

## Annex A: Company Risk Assessment

**IMPORTANT:** Full risk assessment must be provided to the lead auditor as a standalone file. Summary are no longer accepted. The risk assessment will be published on the FSC Database once SAI Global approves it.