1



FSC Standard: Standard for Company Evaluation of FSC Controlled Wood: FSC-STD-40-005 (Version 3-1) EN Annex 2: FSC Risk Assessment and Verification Program

Country and Regions covered with this risk assessment: Canada, Ontario, Private Wood

The following are the results of the risk assessment.

## **Illegally Harvested Wood**

Indicators				Further Risk Assessment down to Local Level- Private Forest within Bancroft Minden Forest	Final Risk Level
	Ontario Ministry of Natural	McRae Lumber has		Private Forest Within Bancroft Winden Forest	
1.1 Evidence of	Resources	identified all Suppliers that			
enforcement of logging	http://www.mnr.gov.on.ca/	deliver wood to the	Low Risk		Low Risk
related laws in the	Algonquin Forestry Authority	company. Each Load that			
district	http://www.algonquinforestry.o	arrives comes with a Bill of			
	n.ca/	Lading # and is put on a			
1.1 (a) The organization	Wood Supplier Audit procedures	Mass Scale Slip. The MNR			
shall use the 'Minimum	(section 4.6 of CoC Manual)	conducts compliance audits			
list of		regularly on crown			
applicable laws,					
regulations and	- FSC network partners and				
nationally	regional				
ratified international	offices (contacts: ic.fsc.org)				
treaties, conventions	- The Royal Institute of				
and	International				
agreements' (Table A,	Affairs (www.illegal-logging.info)				
below) for the					
identification of logging related laws in the	Environmental Investigation				
	Agency				
supply area under evaluation	(www.eia-international.org)				
b) The organization may	- Global Witness				
use existing national	(www.globalwitness.org) - Telapak (for Indonesia -				
lists	www.telapak.org)				
from approved FSC	- UK Government's Department				
National Forest	for				
Stewardship Standards	International Development				

and other reputable sources in order to compile the list	(DFID) - EU FLEGT process (http://www.euflegt.efi.int/hom e) - Transparency International Corruption Perception Index (www.transparency.org) - WWF (wwf.panda.org) - ELDIS regional and country profiles (www.eldis.org) - CITES (www.cites.org				
1.2 There is evidence in the district demonstrating the legality of harvest and wood purchases that includes robust and effective systems for granting licenses and harvest permits.	Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources http://mnr.gov.on.ca/	Ontario has a strong system of government dedicated to the protection of its natural resources. There is strong legislation and license granting procedures in place. Included in the binder are examples of Authority to Cut and Authority to Haul Crown Forest Resources.	Although Ontario is one of the most stable areas in the world for legally harvested wood, it is too big for low risk. So it is Unspecified Risk	The timber came from private land. The landowner gave authorization to cut the wood and provided a signed form demonstrating consent. The wood therefore was harvested as per the owner's conditions and is legal wood.	Low Risk
1.3 There is little or no evidence or reporting of illegal harvesting in the district of origin		Illegal logging is a rare occurrence in Ontario. Laws and judicial system provide recourse and penalties in the event of timber theft.  Contacts are in place between purchaser and supplier to ensure legality.	Low Risk		Low Risk
1.4 There is a low perception of corruption related to the granting or issuing of harvesting permits and other areas of law enforcement related to harvesting and wood trade	http://www.transparency.org/co untry#CAN	Canada is ranked 9 <sup>th</sup> out of 176 countries in the 2012 and in 2016 Transparency International "Corruptions Perceptions Index" with a score of 84/100 in 2012 and 82 in 2016 On the 2011 Bribe Payer's Index Canada Has a score of 8.5/10.	Despite being leaders in a legal forest practice. Canada and Ontario are too large of jurisdictions. Therefore: Unspecified Risk	There is no reason to believe the landowner was coerced into making a decision or any other corruption taking place.	Low Risk

## Wood Harvested in Violation of Traditional or Civil Rights

Traditional or Civil Rigi	111.5		T	1	
Indicators				Further Risk Assessment down to Local Level-	Final Risk Level
				Private Forest within Bancroft Minden Forest	
2.1 There is no UN					
Security Council ban	http://www.globalpolicy.org/secu	There is currently no UN	Low Risk		Low Risk
on timber exports	rity-council/dark-side-of-natural-	Security Council ban on timber			
from the country	resources/timber-in-conflict.html	exports from Canada			
concerned	,				
2.2 The country or					
district is not	http://www.globalpolicy.org/secu	Canada is not designated as a	Low Risk		Low Risk
designated a source of	rity-council/dark-side-of-natural-	source of conflict timber.			
conflict timber (e.g	resources/timber-in-conflict.html				
USAID Type 1 conflict					
timber)					
2.3 There is no		Forest employment in Canada			
evidence of child labor		is regulated under federal and			
or violation of ILO	www.ilo.org	provincial labour codes, which	Low Risk		Low Risk
Fundamental	www.iio.org	prohibit child labour, protect			
Principles and Rights		the rights of workers to			
at work taking place in		organize and are consistent			
forest areas in the		with other ILO provisions.			
district concerned.		Canada is a member of ILO.			
2.4 There are					
recognized and	Aboriginal Affairs and Northern	There is a process in place with	Again Canada	There is no risk of this wood being implicated	
equitable processes in	Development Canada	both the governments of	and Ontario are	in aboriginal rights abuses. It comes from	Low Risk
place to resolve	http://www.aadnc-aandc.gc.ca	Canada and Ontario to	too large of	private land and the owner has given consent	
conflicts of substantial	Forest Stewardship Council	negotiate and implement land	jurisdiction to	for harvesting. Also in the current Agreement	
magnitude pertaining	www.fsc.org	claims and self-government	guarantee low	in Principle for Aboriginal Treaty Negotiations	
to traditional rights		agreements. There is no	risk.	there is no private land in the discussion, only	
including use rights,		information on Canada under	Therefore:	crown lands.	
cultural interests or	Ontario Ministry of Aboriginal	the FSC national initiatives.	Unspecified Risk	http://www.ontario.ca/page/algonquin-land-	Low Risk
traditional cultural	Affairs			claim#section-1	
identity in the district	www.aboriginalaffairs.gov.on.ca				
concerned.					
2.5 There is no					
evidence of violation	Canadian Human Rights	There is no evidence of			
of the ILO Convention	Commission	violation according to the	Low Risk		Low Risk
169 on Indigenous and	http://www.chrc-ccdp.ca	Canadian Human Rights			

Tribal Peoples taking	www.ilo.org	Commission. Canada is a		
place in the forest area		member of ILO		
in the district				
concerned.				

Wood Harvested from forest In which high conservation Values are threatened by Management activities

				Further Risk Assessment down to Local Level- Private Forest within Bancroft Minden Forest	Final Risk Level
3.1 Forest					
management			Again Canada and		
activities in the	Conservation International Biodiversity	Not Mentioned as a	Ontario are too	Ontario has strong legislation in place	Low Risk
relevant level (eco-	Hotspots	biodiversity hotspot by	large of	pertaining to HVC's On private land,	
region. Sub-eco-	http://www.conservation.org/where/n	Conservation	jurisdictions.	some of these measures apply and	
region, local) do not	orth_america/pages/priorities.aspx	International.	Therefore:	others are used at the discretion of	
threaten eco-			Unspecified Risk	the landowner.	
regionally significate					
high conservation					
values				Not listed as an Intact Forest	
				Landscape by Greenpeace and WWF	
The organization shall				. , .	
first assess whether				WWF lists area as "Vulnerable" so low	
any HCVs are				risk	
threatened at the					
ecoregional				Area is not listed as a Biodiversity	
level. If any HCVs are				Hotspot so therefore is low risk	
threatened at the					
ecoregional level, the					
organization shall					
assess how forest					
management					
activities relate to these HCVs					
at the supply area level.					
For the risk					
assessment of this					
category the					

identification of			
ecoregionally			
significant HCVs			
is required, which in			
practical terms			
implies			
that locally relevant			
values are not in the			
focus			
of this step of the risk			
assessment.			
Threatened			
ecoregions can be			
identified			
through the			
supporting			
information that			
references, but is not			
limited to e.g.			
Biodiversity			
Hotspots, Global 200			
Ecoregion,			
Frontier Forest, Intact			
Forest Landscapes.			
Regarding Intact			
Forest Landscapes,			
firefighting or fire			
prevention for the			
protection			
of public safety is not			
considered to be an			
economic activity of			
minimal disturbance.			
Fire			
control in the context			
of forest			
management			
activities is not			
considered to be an			
economic			
activity of minimal			
disturbance.			

	T	T	ī	T	
Low risk for this					
indicator may be					
demonstrated as					
follows:					
a) Material does not					
originate from any of					
the mapped areas of					
HCVs (as listed					
in 3.1), or					
b) There are no					
ecoregionally					
significant					
HCVs in the supply					
area according to					
independent					
verifiable information					
at					
the supply					
area/supply unit level					
(NGO					
reports,					
environmental					
impact					
assessments, etc.).					
,					
2.2 A strong System	http://www.ahd.int/aguntrias/profile/2	Canada has added 24	Again Canada and	Ontaria Cayaramant Lagislatian	Low Risk
3.2 A strong System	http://www.cbd.int/countries/profile/?	million hectares to	Again Canada and	Ontario Government Legislation	LOW RISK
of protection	country=ca#status		Ontario are too	governs the use of Algonquin as well	
(effective protected		protected areas since	large of	as the scale and scope of forestry	
areas and legislation)		1994, which proves	jurisdictions.	activities permitted.	
is in place that		demonstrable progress	Therefore:	http://www.e-	
ensures survival of		towards completing a	Unspecified Risk	laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/	
the HCV's in the		network of protected		elaws_statutes_06p12_e.htm	
ecoregion.		areas.		http://www.e-	
				laws.gov.on.ca/html/statute/english/e	
				laws_statues_90a17_e.htm	

Wood Harvested from areas Being converted from forests And other wooded ecosystems To plantations or non-forest uses

4.1 There is no net				
loss AND no	FAO Global Forest Resources			
significant rate of loss	Assessment	There is no change in		Low Risk
(>0.5%) of natural	http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/al472.	forest area in Canada	Low Risk	
forests and other	pdf pg(8) Table 1.4	between 1990 and		
naturally wooded		2010		
ecosystems such as				
savannahs taking		There is small change		
place in the eco-		<50 for 2010-2015		
region in question				

## Wood from forests in which Genetically modified trees are Planted

		There are no GMO trees		Further Risk Assessment down to Local Level- Private Forest within Bancroft Minden Forest	Final Risk Level
5. The district of origin may be considered low risk in relation to wood from genetically modified trees when one of the following indicators is complied with:	www.fao.org/docrep/008/ae574e/AE5 74E00.HTM http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/pubwarehouse/p dfs/18459_e.pdf	used commercially. In Canada GMO trees are only planted in field trails (page 10 Report of Preliminary Review of Biotechnology in Forestry including Genetic Modification- 2004) (Canadian Forest Service-Genetically Modified Trees)	Low Risk		Low Risk
a) There is no commercial use of genetically modified trees of the species concerned taking place in the country or district concerned		There is no commercial use of GMO tree species in the district concerned	Low Risk		Low Risk
b) Licenses are required for commercial use of genetically modified		See above	Low Risk		Low Risk

trees and there are no licenses for commercial use			
c) It is forbidden to use genetically modified trees commercially in the country concerned	See above	Low Risk	Low Risk

Conclusion: McRae Lumber is at

a Low Risk Level